UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED
2008 JUN 10 PM 3:19
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY

TO: ☑ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☑ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Whelan

FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 6/9/2008

CASE NO.: 08cv0117 W (WMc) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff

CASE TITLE: Myers v. Scribner, et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter (Re: Request for Order and Extension of Time)

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	*Local Rule*	*Discrepancy*
☐	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
☐	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
☐	**5.4**	**Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
☐	7.1	Missing table of contents
☐	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
☐	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
☐		Supplemental documents require court order
☐		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	77.2	**OTHER: Order not grantable by Clerk without further direction of the Judge.**

* No extension of time necessary. Per Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), Plaintiff must effect service via US Marshal within 120 days of 5/29/08. See Order dated 5/28/08 [Doc. No. 3]. Summons issued 5/29/08 [Doc. No. 4].

Date forwarded: 6/10/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☑ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Whelan

Dated: 6/10/08 By: Kmb, PSLC

cc: All Parties

June 5th 08

To: Clerk of Court
W. Samuel Hamrick Jr.

REJECTED

Venson Lane Myers (I.F.P)
Case Number 08cv0117 W (WMc)

Hi, I'm writing the court to inform this court that, at present this institution has again instituted modified programing which affects my ability to get to the Library without a required ducat even to mail out legal document govern by the mailbox rule. I would like to be aided with a standing order to this administration for access to the Library, or extended time to serve defendants. The dates on the (Rev 5/85) Summons AO 440 Form is May 29, 2008, thank you for your help.

Venson Lane Myers
Venson Lane Myers
C-29600 A3-114L